•

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/746,144** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Pamela Wingood

Art Unit

3736

Cornish et al.



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Pamela Wingood	(3) <u>Doug Peterson</u>
(2) Paul Feng	(4)
Date of Interview Nov 15, 2002	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e	e)区 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All Claims	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached.	g) $oxed{X}$ was not reached. h) $oxed{\square}$ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nany other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Applicants clarified Claim 1 and indicated that if apparatus	claims were found allowable that they would cancel the
non-elected claims	
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	py of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is the record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of the Interview.	P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner's signature, it required